

#### **Farmers** Home Administration

#### Washington D.C. 20250

FmHA AN No. 1751 (1924)

April 27, 1988

SUBJECT: Development of Realistic Long Range Farm Budgets

State Directors TO:

ATTENTION: Farmer Program Chiefs

#### PURPOSE/INTENDED OUTCOME

This Administrative Notice (AN) is issued to provide guidance on the development of realistic long range agricultural commodity prices and input costs. This information will be used for determination of the feasibility of farm budgets following loan deferral, and when conservation practices are required to bring the farm in compliance with the requirements in Exhibit M of FmHA Instruction 1940-G. The intended outcome is to provide a sound basis for long term projections and assure consistency in the consideration of requests for assistance which require long range farm budgets.

#### COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS AN

This AN updates and replaces AN 1524 (1951) dated January 5, 1987, and provides the information referred to in AN 1689 (1940) dated December 10, 1987. It provides necessary information for development of farm budgets for the 1989-95 crop years.

#### IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITIES

Realistic financial projections are essential to assure that the borrower will be able to resume scheduled payments after the deferral period and/or begin implementation of a conservation plan to highly erodible land by January 1, 1990 and have a feasible plan when the conservation plan is fully implemented. Projected levels of production and expense must be supported by the borrower's history. Projected income and expense should be based on the borrower's records. If the borrower does not have income and expense records, the County Supervisor will use ASCS records, extension service data, county averages, or reliable sources of data as the basis for income and expense projections. Borrowers who intend to participate in government commodity programs should have deficiency payments and marketing loans included in their budgets.

EXPIRATION DATE: December 31, 1988

FILING INSTRUCTION: Preceding FmHA Instruction 1924-B



### CASH COMMODITY PRICES

## Projected change from 1986

Commodity corn soybeans wheat barley oats milo cotton rice fruit vegetables hay (all) tobacco milk cows steers calves market hogs sows lambs broilers turkeys eggs peanuts (all) sugar beets non-farm income	4.5% -1.3% -4.8% 3.8% 21.4%	306.4888888888888888888888888888888888888	9.4% 9.4% -12.1% 9.3% 4.6% -3.8% 5.7% 27.0%	25.5% 32.2% 15.6% 46.0% 40.4% 40.0% 31.0% 7.38% 71.38% 25.4% 25.4% 25.4%	22.43% 15.6% 111.6% 149.0% 441	22.4% 24.4% 15.7% 15.7% 15.2% 44.00% 11.2% 16.2%	23.4% 38.4% 21.9% 15.7% 56.9%
fertilizer chemicals energy (fuel, electr seeds farm machinery family living other expenses	10.1% 12.5% 21.4%	10.2% 24.7% Dil, etc 14.2% 15.2% 25.2%	30.9% ) 16.9% 18.5% 27.0%	32.3% 17.3% 37.0% 21.6% 22.8% 29.6% 22.8%	36.3% 21.3% 44.4% 24.3% 26.1% 32.1% 24.8%	41.1% 25.2% 51.9% 29.7% 30.4% 35.8% 29.0%	46.0% 29.1% 59.3% 34.5% 34.8% 39.6% 33.8%

Each State Director must establish the average 1986 price received for each agricultural commodity by county, region or district.

In most cases, this data is available from State Departments of Agriculture, State Offices of the USDA Statistical Reporting Service, or Land Grant Universities. If local data is not available, the prices that most nearly reflect local area prices must be used. Specialty enterprises prices may only be available on a statewide basis.

Borrowers with adequate records to compute a weighted average price received for commodities sold in 1986 will have their 1986 actuals used in the calculations explained below. Examples of this would be milk sales, egg sales, regular (i.e. weekly, monthly) marketings of livestock or other commodities. Otherwise, the average price as determined by the State Director will be used in the calculations.

Exhibit A to this AN provides a table of USDA projections for the percentage change of prices by commodity. In many cases, projected change factors are not available for unique locally grown products, such as crawfish, guava or rabbits. Local buyers, processors, the Extension Service, or similar sources should be contacted to obtain the best estimates available for such products.

The percentage change indicated between 1986 and the planning year must be applied to the established 1986 commodity price to determine the expected planning year price. The increase in cost factors must be applied to 1986 actual costs.

The following is an example of using price factors:

Corn-

local 1986 average cash price  $$1.50/bu. \times 43.3$  percent increase for 1993= \$.65/bu + \$1.50 1986 price = \$2.15 projected 1993 price

VANCE L. CLARK Administrator

Attachment

Sent via electronic mail on April 18, 1988 at 8:25 am by ASD(IMPS). The State Director should advise other personnel as appropriate.

# WORKSHEET FOR ACCEPTING FARMER PROGRAM INVENTORY PROPERTY PRIOR LIENHOLDER ACTIONS - VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE

The following estimate should cover the full time it is estimated that FmHA would have the property in inventory for

(pre	esen	t owner/borrower)		
1.		KET VALUE OF PROPERTY rt 7, Form FmHA 422-1)		\$
	EST	IMATED HOLDING PERIOD IN	YEARS	-
2.	INC	OME		
	a.	Annual Rentx	Holding Period	
	ъ.	Annual Royaltiesx	Holding Period	<b>=</b>
	c.	Other Annual x Income	Holding Period	
	d.	Annual % Land Appreciationx (if applicable)	Holding Period	=
	e.	Other (Describe)		=
	TOT	AL ADDITIONS		\$
3.	EXP	enses		
	a)	Total Prior Lienholder I	ndebtedness (P and I)	) =
	<b>b</b> )	Other Acquisition Costs costs, junior liens, etc	(taxes presently owed) List:	l, closing
				=
	c)		Holding Period	=
	d)		Holding Period	=

e)		Annual Holding Management Costs x Period	=
f	)	Total Essential Repairs to Secure & Resell	
g)	)	Annual % Decrease Holding In Land Value x Period (if applicable)	=
h	)	Total Anticipated Resale Expenses (Commission Advertising, etc.)	18,
i	)	Total Interest Cost	
		MKT Value Regular* Holding \$ x OL Rate x Period	=
j	)	Value loss due to deed restrictions (Conservation, Dairy, wetlands and floodplains, etc.)	=
k)	)	Hazardous Waste Clean-up Costs	=
		TOTAL DEDUCTIONS (items a through k)	\$
4.		RECOVERY VALUE END OF HOLDING PERIOD	
		1 +34 = \$ Market Total Total Value Additions Deductions	Recovery Value
		County Supervisor Date	

<sup>\*</sup> The regular operating loan rate more nearly reflects the Government's costs of money.